Case 2:01-cv-00246-MCE-DAD Document 208 Filed 12/18/13 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 REY CARTAS VELASQUEZ, ET AL., No. 2:01-cv-00246-MCE-DAD 11 12 Plaintiffs, 13 RELATED CASE ORDER v. MOHAMMED KHAN, AKA MOHAMMED 14 NAWAZ KHAN, DBA KHAN LABOR CONTRACTOR, ET AL., 15 16 Defendants. 17 No. 2:12-cr-00180-MCE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 18 Plaintiff, 19 v. 20 MOHAMMAD NAWAZ KHAN, ET AL., 21 Defendants. 22 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 2:12-cr-00300-MCE 24 Plaintiff, 2.5 V. RYAN HERBERT SMITH, ET AL., 26 27 Defendants. 28

Case 2:01-cv-00246-MCE-DAD Document 208 Filed 12/18/13 Page 2 of 3

```
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                      No. 2:13-cr-00006-MCE
 1
 2
              Plaintiff,
 3
         v.
    JIT KAUR, ET AL.
 4
 5
              Defendants.
 6
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        No. 2:13-cr-00275-GEB
 7
              Plaintiff,
 8
         V.
 9
    GURCHET SANDHU, ET AL.
10
              Defendants.
11
12
13
         The Court has received the Notice of Related Case filed on
14
    December 5, 2013.
15
         Examination of the above-entitled civil and criminal actions
16
17
   reveals that these actions are related within the meaning of
   Local Rule 123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve many of
18
19
   the same defendants and are based on the same or similar claims,
20
   the same property transaction or event, similar questions of fact
21
   and the same questions of law, and would therefore entail a
22
   substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.
   Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is
23
   likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is
24
25
   also likely to be convenient for the parties.
26
   ///
27
   ///
28
   ///
```

Case 2:01-cv-00246-MCE-DAD Document 208 Filed 12/18/13 Page 3 of 3

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that both actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the action is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the district judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated 2:13-cr-00275-GEB, United States of America v. Gurchet Sandhu, et al., is reassigned to Chief Judge Morrison C. England, Jr., for all further proceedings, and any dates currently set in this reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as 2:13-cr-00275-MCE.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of criminal cases to compensate for this reassignment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 17, 2013

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT